

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/17/2003

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR CONFIRMATION NO. 09/629,204 07/31/2000 Kamran Uz Zaman 690-009312-US(PAR)D/99836 5766 09/17/2003 Kevin P Correll **EXAMINER** Perman & Green LLP KAO, CHIH CHENG G 425 Post Road Fairfield, CT 06430 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2882

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Me
Advisory Action	Application No.	Applicant(s)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	09/629,204	ZAMAN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Chih-Cheng Glen Kao	2882	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 19 August 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.			
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		•
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offictimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.136(a).	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mai	ount of the fee. The appropriate or the final originally set in the final	ropriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:			
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);			
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);			
<ul> <li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or</li> </ul>	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	mplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	S.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	e newly
7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-23</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	roved by the Exami	ner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·	

10. Other: \_\_\_\_





Continuation of 2. NOTE: The new issues include with regards to claims 1, 11, and 20, gray level picture data of a plurality of distinguishable pixels, with regards to claim 16, the step of capturing providing a band of capture illumination having gray level picture data of a plurality of distinguishable pixels which are darker or lighter pixels, and the step of comparing including a step of determining a ratio of the number of distinguishable pixels to the total number of pixels in the band, and with regards to claim 23, the optical sensor providing a band of captured illumination having gray level picture data of a pluarlity of distinguishable pixels which are darker pixels or lighter pixels and a threshold disciminator that determines a ratio of the number of distinguishable pixels to the total number of pixels in the band for classifying the OPC device.

EDWARD J. GLICK

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER